## Cluster G Executive Committee Meeting Agenda July 12, 2024

Objective 2: Ensure the First Steps program is responsive to the needs of children and families. Address concerns received by the LPCC within 60 days of receipt and forward complaints to the State office within two (2) business days. Objective 4: Increase and improve communication and collaboration among all early intervention stakeholders in the cluster(s). • LPCC membership includes at least: Two (2) parents or family members who have participated in early intervention services with an 0 eligible infant or toddler, in Indiana or another State, under IDEA Part C One (1) representative from each First Steps provider agency in the cluster 0 One (1) LEA representative 0 One (1) social services representative 0 One (1) Head Start or Early Head Start representative 0 One (1) early care and education/childcare representative 0 One (1) local DCS representative 0 One (1) health or medical services representative 0 Objective 5: Adhere to operational requirements; maintain documentation to meet State policy and State and federal regulations; and support First Steps program improvement. Ensure that LPCC staff have working knowledge of the First Steps system, system policy, and all State and federal regulations governing the Part C program. Compliance with all regulations and policies is required. Ensure all LPCC staff adhere to the Professional Conduct Guidelines as outlined in the First Steps Early Intervention Policy Manual. Maintain up-to-date rosters for the LPCC and cluster transition committee

## 1) Introductions (if needed)

- 2) Approval of Minutes
- 3) Annual Meeting Recap
- 4) Advocacy Efforts
- 5) Membership
- 6) Announcements/Close

## CENTRAL INDIANA FIRST STEPS LOCAL PLANNING & COORDINATING COUNCIL Cluster G Executive Committee July 16, 2024

**Present:** Jason Berty-CTC, Sarah Bailey-Infancy Onward, Jamie LeSesne Spears-ChildCare Answers, Debbi Davis-SPOE, Angela Touseull-LPCC, Stacy Williams-LPCC, Katarina Groves-LPCC, Terri Holmes-Parent, Melissa Myers-Collab for Kids.

Agenda Items	Discussion	Action Items
Welcome/Introductions	• Stacy welcomed everyone. Introductions were not needed.	
Minutes	• Minutes from the last meeting were reviewed. Sarah made a motion for approval. Terri seconded the motion. The minutes were approved unanimously by the committee.	
Annual Meeting	<ul> <li>Stacy opened the discussion by asking for feedback on the Annual Meeting which was held on May 10, 2024. Missy felt it was a great event and was very emotional for her. Stacy asked about the flow. Missy felt some award nominations were too long. Jamie said she wished she would have known she could speak after receiving her award so she could have prepared herself. Stacy replied this is done intentionally and anyone who feels strongly about saying a few words is welcome to speak. Jason suggested it would be good to put the award winner's nomination on the power point like what is done at the First Steps conference.</li> <li>Sarah felt it was engaging to have the keynote speaker show pictures during their presentation. Katarina agreed and added it sets the tone for why we do what we do. Stacy asked if there were suggestions for a keynote speaker for 2025. She wondered if Mariana should be considered. She also said she was approached after the meeting by someone who felt it would be good to have someone who is more diverse. Jason liked having a legislator and along those lines thought Kim Dodson might be a good candidate. Jamie thought a Haitian family could highlight the cultural differences among that population. Stacy reminded everyone that Willaine was the keynote speaker a few years ago. Willaine told the committee she was born in Haiti and there</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Send theme ideas for the 2025 Annual Meeting to Stacy, Katarina, and Angela.</li> <li>Continue to forward names for a keynote speaker to Stacy, Katarina, and Angela</li> </ul>

	are significant ethnic, religious, and socio-
	economic hurdles to overcome as a Haitian
	immigrant in the US. Sarah asked if we have
	ever had a provider speak. Stacy replied that
	Connie Merkel and Sue did several years ago.
	Willaine wondered if it would be good to have a
	doctor speak. Missy suggested Dr. Giese might
	be a good option.
	• Stacy asked about the overall impression of the
	event being held at Valle Vista. Missy felt the
	space was good and the food was fine. It is easy
	to get to and parking is easy.
	• Stacy mentioned some changes which were
	made to the 2024 event based on feedback from
	the Executive Committee. These included more
	robust explanations of the awards, allowing
	award winners to bring a guest if desired, and
	adding a Community Partner award. She asked
	if everyone felt we should continue the
	Community Partner award for next year.
Advocacy Efforts	It is a budget year for the legislature and there
That beauty Efforts	are questions about the best approach to take
	with lawmakers. There was a \$1,000,000,000
	deficit with Medicaid, and many are worried
	budget cuts will need to be made. The group
	agreed this would make sense. Stacy asked the
	committee how they wanted to handle advocacy.
	We want to be prepared with a consistent
	message as we go on visits at the statehouse.
	<ul> <li>Angela gave a recap of the legislators from the</li> </ul>
	Ways and Means committee as well as the
	Appropriations committee that are up for
	reelection. Meetings with Senators and
	Representatives can be time consuming but
	being able to contact those who either are
	running unopposed or not up for election is a
	good way to utilize precious time leading up to
	November. Angela also expressed a need to
	have consistent talking points and concrete data
	to share. It can be uncomfortable to not have
	basic information when legislators ask questions.
	In the past, we have agreed to follow up with
	specific answers to questions we were asked
	during meetings but unable to answer in person.
	Keeping this to a minimum is preferred to relay
	the best message. Angela said some individuals
	respond to the emotional message we share but

	<ul> <li>others press for specific financial information. The study we typically refer to, which cites the value on the return on investment for the state when children utilize early intervention services, is very dated. New research or more current information is needed. Jamie said they have had success with stating they can't answer specific questions because they aren't permitted to lobby. They offer unbiased data. They combine parent stories with data to make their points. Sarah wondered if Katie Herron has access to current studies. Jamie reminded everyone that Kindergarten readiness is a hot button right now which should be helpful. Katarina wondered if Juliann Woods with the FGRBI model would possibly have some data on the return on investment for early intervention.</li> <li>Katarina added we need to set ourselves apart from other organizations, possibly highlighting drug issues as we have done in the past. Being creative is key. While an increase is always needed, it might be considered a win to not have cuts considering the deficit which will need to be made up.</li> <li>Debbi suggested we show the progress that was made because of the last increase and the immediate impact that was provided to the families in our program. Jamie added we can thank legislators for the increase.</li> </ul>	
Membership	• Stacy reminded the committee they are charged with ensuring our LPCC membership includes specific stakeholders as listed on the meeting agenda. We have not had issues meeting this objective. The Executive Committee will be appraised of the membership status on a regular basis.	
Announcements/Close	• No further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.	• Next Meeting: January 10, 2025 via Teams

Respectfully submitted, Angela Touseull